

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,369 02/15/2002		2002	Olivier Fagebaume	3806.0459-01	9909	
22852	7590	09/23/2003				
	N, HENDERS	EXAMINER				
LLP 1300 I STRE		WEISBERGER, RICHARD C				
WASHINGI	ON, DC 2000)3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3624		
				DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1 ~
		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	WK,
سهم	•	10/075,369		FAGEBAUME ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Richard C W		3624	
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
- - -	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, holy within the statutory will apply and will experience, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. mmunication.
1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2 a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is nor	ı-final.		
3	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under				e merits is
Disp	osition of Claims	Lx parte quay	,c, 1000 O.B. 11, 4	33 G.G. 213.	
4	Claim(s) $\underline{1}$ is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consid	eration.		
5	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.				
7	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requi	rement.		
	cation Papers				
)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine □☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		ested to by the Ever	ninor	
10	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	•	•		
11	The proposed drawing correction filed on				er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			,	
12	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			
Prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been re	ceived.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been re	ceived in Application	on No	
	Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).		Stage
14)	\square Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under	· 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional	application).
15)	 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •			
Attacl	ment(s)				
2) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) [5) [6) [Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(e Patent Application (PTC	

Application/Control Number: 10/075,369

Art Unit: 3624

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5270922. This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,369

Art Unit: 3624

Respectfully

Ву,

Richard Weisberger

Telephone 703 308 4408

Email Richard.weisberger@uspto.gov